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Abstract
This article first examines the textual structure of the Nāga Saṃyutta (no. 29), Supaṇṇa Saṃyutta (no. 30), and Valāhaka Saṃyutta (no. 32) of the Pāli Saṃyutta-nikāya in conjunction with their Chinese Āgama counterparts. Then it compares the main teachings contained in the two versions. Also, this article for the first time provides a full translation of the relevant Chinese Buddhist texts for comparison. It reveals similarities but also significant differences in both structure and content.

Introduction
The following four Pāli collections, Nāga Saṃyutta (no. 29 “Connected with Nāgas”), Supaṇṇa Saṃyutta (no. 30 “Connected with Supaṇṇas”), Gandhabba Saṃyutta (no. 31 “Connected with Gandhabbas”), and Valāhaka1 Saṃyutta (no. 32 “Connected with Valāhakas”) in the Saṃyutta-nikāya are a group of sequential collections about early Buddhist adaptations of Vedic mythical beliefs regarding nāgas “mythical dragons/snakes”, supaṇṇas “mythical birds”,

* I am indebted to Roderick S. Bucknell for his constructive comments and corrections on a draft of this article.

1 Or Valāha instead of Valāhaka. See SN 32.1: SN III 254, n. 1.
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gandhabbas “fragrant plant devas”, and valāhakas “cloud devas”. However, the Pāli SN 31 Gandhabba Saṃyutta has no counterpart among the Chinese Āgama discourses. Thus, only SN saṃyuttas 29, 30, and 32 and their Chinese Āgama counterparts will be discussed in this article. The purpose of this study is mainly to identify the differences and similarities of the two versions.

Textual structure

The Pāli Nāga Saṃyutta (SN 29.1-50), Supaṇṇa Saṃyutta (SN 30.1-46), and Valāhaka Saṃyutta (SN 32.1-57) are located in Khandha Vagga, the Section on Aggregates, which is the third of the five major divisions of the Pāli Saṃyutta-nikāya. In these three collections the following discourses/suttas have Chinese Āgama parallels:

- SN 29.1 and SN 30.1-2 have a single Chinese parallel located in Ekottarikāgama, namely EA 27.8 (in T2, no. 125).
- SN 32.1 has a Chinese parallel in Samyuktāgama, namely SA 871 (in T2, no. 99).

The Chinese EA version (T.125) was translated by Dharmanandi (曇摩難提) and revised by Saṃghadeva (僧伽提婆) in the fourth century from now lost Indic-language originals.

The Chinese SA version was translated by Guṇabhadra (求那跋陀羅) in 435-436 CE from now lost Indic-language originals. However, the extant Chinese SA version entirely lacks sections corresponding to SN samyuttas 29, 30, and 31. That these SA discourses are missing may be due to the loss of a part of the original SA textual collection, as suggested by Yinshun. This makes it possible that the lack of SA counterparts for SN 32.2-57 (in the Valāhaka Saṃyutta) is also due to loss of material from the collection.

---

2 Cf. Woodward 1925, 197 n. 1; Bodhi 2000, 850-851.
4 Nagasaki 2004, 13. Glass 2007, 38 considers that Guṇabhadra was probably not the translator but rather the one who recited the Indic text. See also Glass 2008 [2010], 2, n. 4.
5 On Sanskrit fragments corresponding to the Chinese SA, see Chung 2008, 148-151. These are not covered in this study.
6 Yinshun 1983, i 47, 50, 56; iii 536 n. 3; Choong 2000, 16, 22, 245; Nagasaki 2004, 54.
The above-mentioned Chinese discourse, SA 871, which is parallel to SN 32.1, is one of twelve discourses, namely SA 861-872, that are treated as part of a grouping whose title, Tian Xiangying 天相應 “Connected with Devas/Heavens” in the Combined Edition of Sūtra and Śāstra of the Samyuktāgama version, was supplied by the editor, Yinshun. This Chinese version of Tian Xiangying is located in the Zayin song (“Causal Condition Section”), SA section (3), which corresponds to the Pāli Nidāna Vagga, SN section (2). According to Yinshun, this Chinese Tian Xiangying pertains to Fo/Rulai suoshuo song 釋如來所說誦 (“Section Spoken by the Buddha” Skt. Buddha-bhāṣita), of the vyākaraṇa-āṅga (P. veyyākarana-āṅga) portion of SA/SN.

There is no clear evidence found in the texts to explain why the SN saṃyuttas 29, 30, 31, and 32 (as a group of early Buddhist adaptations of Vedic mythical beliefs about nāgas, supaṇṇas, gandhabbas, and valāhakas) should be located in section (3) Khandha Vagga. The same issue applies to the extant Chinese SA version of the discourses (i.e. Tian Xiangying and other missing discourses nearby) located in section (3) Zayin song.

**Disagreements on teachings contained in the Pāli SN 29.1 AND SN 30.1-2 and their Chinese parallel EA 27.8**

The Pāli Nāga Saṃyutta SN 29 and Supaṇṇa Saṃyutta SN 30 have been translated into English by Woodward (1925) and by Bodhi (2000). The Chinese EA 27.8 is a counterpart of both discourses SN 29.1 and SN 30.1-2. EA 27.8 is a very short discourse, which has not previously been

---


9 Choong 2000, 9-11, 17, 21, 245. Vākarana  is one of the three āṅgas represented in the structure of SA/SN: sūtra (P. sutta) “discourse” (short, simple prose), geya (geyya) “stanza” (verse mixed with prose), and vyākaraṇa (veyyākaraṇa) “exposition”. These three āṅgas are the first three of nine types of early Buddhist text (navaṅga) classified according to their style and form. They are regarded by some scholars as historically the earliest ones to have appeared, in sequence, in the formation of the early Buddhist texts. Also, only these first three āṅgas are mentioned in MN 122 (Mahāsuññatā-sutta): III, 115 (cf. also the Ceylonese/Burmese version) and its Chinese parallel, MA 191: T1, 739c. This suggests the possibility that only these three āṅgas existed in the period of Early (or pre-sectarian) Buddhism (cf. Mizuno 1988, 23; Nagasaki 2004, 51-2; Choong 2010).

10 SN III 1890, 240-249.

11 Woodward 1925, 192-196; Bodhi 2000, 1020-1024.
Thus have I heard.

Once the Buddha was staying in Jetavana, Anāthapiṇḍika’s park at Śrāvastī.

At that time the World-Honoured One said to the monks: “There are four types of garuḍa (jinchi niao金翅鳥). What are the four? They are egg-born garuḍas, womb-born garuḍas, moisture-born garuḍas, and transformation/metamorphosis-born garuḍas. These are the four types of garuḍa. Similarly, monks, there are four types of nāga (dragon). What are the four? They are egg-born nāgas, womb-born nāgas, moisture-born nāgas, and transformation-born nāgas. These, monks, are the four types of nāga.

“Monks, you should know [this]: If egg-born garuḍas want to eat nāgas, then they go up onto the iron-fork tree (tiecha shu鐵叉樹), and throw themselves into the sea. The sea is two hundred and eighty thousand miles wide. Beneath [its surface] there are four types of nāga palace, where exist egg-born, womb-born, moisture-born, and transformation-born nāgas.

“At that time the egg-born garuḍas use their large wings to beat the water in two directions [in order] to catch egg-born nāgas for food. But if the nāgas that they catch are of the womb-born type, then the egg-born garuḍas will die. At that time, the [egg-born] garuḍas who beat the water [in two directions] in order to catch nāgas go back up the iron-fork tree before the water closes in.

\[12\] T2, 646a-b; FEA 2, 697-699.
\[13\] See Malalasekera 1983, 755 about Garuḍā.
\[14\]爾時。世尊告諸比丘。有四種金翅鳥。云何為四。有卵生金翅鳥。有胎生金翅鳥。有濕生金翅鳥。有化生金翅鳥。是四種金翅鳥。如是比丘。有四種龍。云何為四。有卵生龍。有胎生龍。有濕生龍。有化生龍。是謂。比丘。有四種龍。
\[15\] This is an interesting word, but no corresponding Indian term or story is found.
\[16\]比丘當知。若彼卵生金翅鳥欲食龍時。上鐵叉樹上。自投于海。而彼海水縱廣二十\n
\[17\]是時。卵種金翅鳥。以大翅搏水兩向。取卵種龍食之。設當向胎種龍者。金翅鳥身
“Monks, you should know [this]: If womb-born garuḍas want to eat nāgas, then they go up the iron-fork tree, and throw themselves into the sea. The seawater is two hundred and eighty thousand miles wide. They beat the seawater into two and dive in order to catch womb-born nāgas. If they meet with egg-born nāgas, then they can also catch them from the seawater. If they meet with moisture-born nāgas, the garuḍa flock will die.\(^ {18}\)

“Monks, you should know [this]: If moisture-born garuḍas want to eat nāgas, then they go up the iron-fork tree, and throw themselves into the sea. If they meet with egg-born nāgas, womb-born nāgas, [or] moisture-born nāgas, then they are able to catch them. If they meet with transformation-born nāgas, [however], the garuḍa flock will die.\(^ {19}\)

“Monks, if transformation-born garuḍas want to eat nāgas, then they go up the iron-fork tree and throw themselves into the sea. The seawater is two hundred and eighty thousand miles wide. They beat the seawater [into two directions in order] to catch egg-born nāgas, womb-born nāgas, moisture-born nāgas, [or] transformation-born nāgas. They are able to catch them all, [and] they return to the iron-fork tree just before the seawater closes in.\(^ {20}\)

“Monks, you should know [this]: If the nāga king were to serve the Buddha, then at that time garuḍas would be unable to eat [nāgas]. Why is that? Because the Tathāgata constantly practices four kinds of mind, the garuḍas are unable to eat. What are the four kinds? The Tathāgata constantly practices loving-kindness, compassion, empathic joy, and equanimity.\(^ {21}\)
That is to say, monks, the *Tathāgata*, who constantly has the four kinds of mind, is of great power, great strength, and cannot be destroyed. For this reason *garuḍas* are unable to eat *nāgas*. Therefore, monks, you should practise the four kinds of mind. Thus, monks, you should do this practice.”

At that time, the monks, having heard what the Buddha had said, were delighted and put it into practice.

In comparison, the Pāli parallels, SN 29.1 and SN 30.1-2 of this Chinese discourse (EA 27.8) mention the same four types (*aṇḍajā, jalābujā, saṃsedajā, opapātikā*), from inferior to superior classes, of *nāgas* and *garuḍas* (called *supaṇṇas* in the Pāli), and that *garuḍas* are only able to carry off (*haranti*) *nāgas* that are of equal or inferior types, but not their superiors. However, only the Chinese version mentions that the “iron-fork tree” is used by *garuḍas* in hunting *nāgas* for food. Also, the reason why the four legendary types of *nāgas* and *garuḍas* are included in the Pāli collections (SN 29 and SN 30) within the Buddhist framework is not clearly revealed.

The Pāli and Chinese versions adapt Indic mythology about the two animal classes: serpent-like beings and birds. Nevertheless, the Chinese version seems to provide a motivation for the inclusion of these two mythical animals in the Buddhist context, by showing the importance of practising the “four kinds of mind”.

**Disagreements on teachings contained in the Pāli SN 32.1 and its Chinese parallel, sA 871**

For the Pāli *Valāhaka Saṃyutta* (SN 32) there already exist English translations by Woodward (1925) and by Bodhi (2000). In this *saṃyutta* (SN 32.1-57) only one *sutta*, SN 32.1, has a Chinese counterpart, namely SA 871 (in Tian Xiangying, “Connected with Devas”). It is a very short discourse, and has not previously been translated into English. For the purpose of comparison I now provide the following full translation of the Chinese text:

---

22 是謂。比丘。如來恆有此四等心。有大筋力。有大勇猛。不可沮壞。以是之故。金翅之鳥不能食龍。是故。諸比丘。當行四等之心。如是。諸比丘。當作是學。
23 SN III 1890, 254-257.
24 Woodward 1925, 200-201; Bodhi 2000, 1028-1030.
25 T2, 220b; CSA iii 535; FSA 2, 954-955.
Thus have I heard.

Once the Buddha was staying in Jetavana, Anāthapiṇḍika’s park at Śrāvastī.

At that time the World-Honoured One said to the monks: “The wind-cloud *devas* [may] have this thought: “Let us now use [our] divine powers to amuse ourselves. When they have this thought, wind-clouds arise. As with the wind-cloud *devas*, the same is also to be said of the lightning-flash *devas*, the thunder-clap *devas*, the rain *devas*, the sunshine *devas*, the coolness *devas*, [and] the warmth *devas.*”

When the Buddha had finished teaching this discourse, the monks, having heard what the Buddha had said, were delighted and put it into practice.

Speaking in this way, different monks asked the Buddha, [and] the Buddha asked all of the monks.

The corresponding SN 32.1 reports the Buddha as teaching the monks about “*devas* of the cloud-class/group” (*valāhaka-kāyikā devā*). These include the following five classes/groups:

Cool-cloud *devas* (*sīta-valāhakā devā*), warm-cloud *devas* (*unha-valāhakā devā*), thunder-cloud *devas* (*abbha-valāhakā devā*), wind-cloud *devas* (*vāta-valāhakā devā*), and rain-cloud *devas* (*vassa-valāhakā devā*).

Also, another Pāli sutta within the same collection, SN 32.53, reports the Buddha as teaching thus:

Monks, there are so-called cool-cloud *devas*. They may have this thought: “Let us revel in our own class of delight (*sakāya-ratiya rameyyāma*)”, Then, in accordance with their desire, it becomes cool. This, monks, is the cause and reason why it sometimes becomes cool.

---

26 厥時。世尊告諸比丘。有風雲天作是念。我今欲以神力遊戲。如是念時。風雲則起。如風雲天。如是焰電天。雷震天。雨天。晴天。寒天。熱天亦如是說。
27 說如是。異比丘問佛。佛問諸比丘亦如是說。
28 SN III 1890, 256.
A similar account is also given for the other four classes of “cloud devas” (valāhakā devā) (i.e. warm-cloud, thunder-cloud, wind-cloud, and rain-cloud devas). This expression of the devas’ desire to change the condition of the weather seems comparable to the above-mentioned Chinese version SA 871: “We (i.e. the wind-cloud devas in their thinking) want to use our divine power to amuse ourselves,” which then causes wind-clouds to develop.

Thus, the Pāli version lacks two kinds of cloud devas that appear in the Chinese version – namely lightning-bolt devas and sunshine devas – while the Chinese version lacks the collective term, valāhaka-kāyikā devā “devas of the cloud-class”, for all of the five cloud devas. Also, the power of those devas portrayed in the two versions is said to be able to change the weather conditions. Nevertheless, the reason why these weather-related mythical devas are edited into the Buddhist texts is not clearly stated in either version.

Other doctrinal items found only in the Chinese version of Tian Xiangying and in the Pāli version of Valāhaka Samyutta

As mentioned above, SA 871 in the Chinese Tian Xiangying (Connected with Devas) is a counterpart of SN 32.1, which is just one of the fifty-seven suttas in the Pāli Valāhaka Samyutta. The eleven discourses, SA 861-870, 872, in the Tian Xiangying have no Pāli counterparts in Valāhaka Samyutta, while the Pāli fifty-six discourses, SN 32.2-57, in Valāhaka Samyutta have no Chinese counterparts in Tian Xiangying. Accordingly, the present section will discuss these differences between the two collections, SN 32.2-57 and SA 861-870, 872.

The contents of SN 32.2-57, without parallels in the corresponding SA collection, are as follows.

(1) SN 32.2-52: Fifty-one suttas about the reason why someone is reborn among the cloud devas, which are in five classes: Cool-cloud devas, warm-cloud devas, thunder-cloud devas, wind-cloud devas, and rain-cloud devas. The main reason why someone is reborn there is good conduct of deed, speech, and thought, and also giving. Another important cause is that the person wishes to be reborn there because those cloud devas are long-lived, beautiful, and have much happiness.

29 Bhikkhu Bodhi 2000, 1102, n. 293 provides an explanation from the Pāli commentary: “Cool weather during the rainy season or winter is a natural coolness caused by the change of seasons, but when it becomes extremely cold during the cool season, or cold during the summer, that is caused by the power of these devas.”

30 Cf. Woodward 1925, 200-201; Bodhi 2000, 1028-1030.
(2) SN 32.53-57: Five *suttas* which discuss the reason why the weather sometimes becomes cool, warm, stormy, windy, or rainy, in connection with the mythical power of the above-mentioned five cloud *devas*.\(^{31}\)

The Chinese discourses SA 861-870, 872, also without parallels in the corresponding sections of SN, are as follows:

(1) SA 861-863 (T2, 219b; CSA iii 531-532; FSA 2, 947-949):  
SA861 (T2, 219b; CSA iii 531; FSA 2, 947):

Thus have I heard.

Once the Buddha was staying in Jetavana, Anāthapiṇḍika’s park at Śrāvastī.

At that time the World-Honoured One said to the monks: “Four hundred years in the human lifespan are one day and one night in the Tuṣita heaven. Thus, thirty days are one month, and twelve months are one year, the lifespan in the Tuṣita heaven is four thousand years.\(^{32}\) At the end of life the untaught worldling will be reborn in hell, [or in the realm of] animals, or [in the realm of] hungry ghosts. At the end of life the well-taught noble disciple will not be reborn in hell, [or in the realm of] animals, [or in the realm of] hungry ghosts.”\(^{33}\)

When the Buddha had finished teaching this discourse, the monks, having heard what the Buddha had said, were delighted and put it into practice.

---

\(^{31}\) Cf. Bodhi 2000, 1102, n. 293. See footnote 29 in this article.

\(^{32}\) One day in Tuṣita (Skt. *Tuṣita*) equals four hundred human years, but a Tuṣita year is still made of 12 months of 30 days each. The implication is that each Tuṣita year corresponds to 400 x 30 x 12 = 144,000 human years. Since the lifespan (*shou* 壽) in Tuṣita is 4,000 such years, this will correspond to 144,000 x 4,000 = 576,000,000 human years.

\(^{33}\) 一時。佛住舍衛國祇樹給孤獨園。

爾時。世尊告諸比丘。人間四百歲是兜率陀天上一日一夜。如是三十日一月。十二月一歲。兜率陀天壽四千歲。愚癡無聞凡夫於彼命終。生地獄．畜生．餓鬼中。多聞聖弟子於彼命終。不生地獄．畜生．餓鬼中。
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SA 862 (T2, 219b; CSA iii 531; FSA 2, 948):

Thus have I heard.

Once the Buddha was staying in Jetavana, Anāthapiṇḍika’s park at Śrāvastī.

At that time the World-Honoured One said to the monks: “Eight hundred years in the human lifespan are one day and one night in the Nimmānaratī heaven. Thus, thirty days are one month and twelve months are one year, [the lifespan in] the Nimmānaratī heaven is eight thousand years. At the end of life the untaught worldling will be reborn in hell, or in [the realm of] animals, or in [the realm of] hungry ghosts. At the end of life the well-taught noble disciple will not be reborn in hell, [or in the realm of] animals, [or in the realm of] hungry ghosts.”

When the Buddha had finished teaching this discourse, the monks, having heard what the Buddha had said, were delighted and put it into practice.

SA 863 (T2, 219b; CSA iii 531-532; FSA 2, 948-949):

Thus have I heard.

Once the Buddha was staying in Jetavana, Anāthapiṇḍika’s park at Śrāvastī.

At that time the World-Honoured One said to the monks: “one thousand six hundred years in the human lifespan are one day and one night in the Paranimmitavasavattī heaven. Thus, thirty days are one month and twelve months are one year, [the lifespan in] the Paranimmitavasavattī heaven is sixteen thousand years. At the end of life the untaught worldling will be reborn in hell, or [in the realm of] animals, or [in the realm of] hungry ghosts. At the end of life the well-taught noble disciple, however, will

34爾時。世尊告諸比丘。人間八百歲是化樂天上一日一夜。如是三十日一月。十二
月一歳。化樂天壽八千歲。愚癡無聞凡夫於彼命終。生地獄、畜生、餓鬼中。多聞聖弟子於彼命終。不生地獄、畜生、餓鬼中。
not be reborn in hell, or [in the realm of] animals, or [in the
realm of] hungry ghosts.”

When the Buddha had finished teaching this discourse, the monks,
having heard what the Buddha had said, were delighted and put it
into practice.

These three SA discourses (SA 861-3) are about two ideas: first, the idea
that the life span in the heavens is far longer than in the human realm; second,
the idea that, at the end of life, the untaught worldling will be reborn in one
of three unhappy realms: hell, or the realm of animals, or the realm of hungry
ghosts, whereas the well-taught noble disciple will not be reborn in any of
these three realms.36

(2) SA 864-870 (T2, 219b-220b; CSA iii 532-535; FSA 2, 949-954).37
SA 864 (T2, 219b-c; CSA iii 532; FSA 2, 949-950):

Thus have I heard.

Once the Buddha was staying in Jetavana, Anāthapiṇḍika’s park
at Śrāvastī.

At that time the World-Honoured One said to the monks: “If, with
regard to actions or appearances or signs, a monk detaches himself
from sensuality, detaches himself from evil and unwholesome
states, then he abides having attained the first dhyāna, in which
there is thought-and-investigation, [along with] detachment-born
joy and pleasure.38

“He does not recollect or think about such actions, appearances,
or signs, but sees the phenomena (dharmas) of material form,

35爾時。世尊告諸比丘。人間千六百歲是他化自在天一日一夜。如是三十日一月。
十二月一歲。他化自在天壽一萬六千歲。愚癡無聞凡夫於彼命終。生地獄。畜生。餓鬼
中。多聞聖弟子於彼命終。不生地獄。畜生。餓鬼中。
36 Cf. Pāli AN 3.70 (Aṅguttara-nikāya): I 205-215 (particularly 213-214); EA 24.6: T2 624b;
T1 nos. 87-89; MA 202: T1 770a.
37 Note: For convenience of references the Chinese terms of various specific heavenly
realms (天 tian) in the following translations are directly provided with Indic equivalents, e.g.
Appamāṇasubha for wuliangjing tian 無量淨天.
38爾時。世尊告諸比丘。若比丘若行。若形。若相。離欲。惡不善法。有覺有觀。離
生喜樂。初禪具足住。
feeling, perception, activities, [and] consciousness as sickness, as an abscess, as a dart, as pain; [as] impermanence, [as] suffering, [as] emptiness, [as] not-self. With regard to those phenomena he experiences disgust, fear, and defensiveness. Having experienced disgust, fear, and defensiveness, he practises the gateway to ambrosia\textsuperscript{39} and gains the benefit of it for himself. Such calm, such excellent subtlety, is what is called renunciation [of all attachment], the remainderless ending of craving, the fading away of desire, cessation, nirvana.”\textsuperscript{40}

When the Buddha had finished teaching this discourse, the monks, having heard what the Buddha had said, were delighted and put it into practice.

SA 865 (T2, 219c; CSA iii 532; FSA 2, 950):

Thus have I heard.

Once the Buddha was staying in Jetavana, Anāthapiṇḍika’s park at Śrāvastī.

At that time the World-Honoured One taught the monks the above teaching, but with the following difference: Having known thus, seen thus, his mind is liberated from the influx of sense-desire, liberated from the influx of becoming, [and] liberated from the influx of ignorance. In liberation arises the knowledge [that his mind is liberated]. He truly knows: birth is ended, noble conduct is established, done is what was to be done, there is no more of further becoming.\textsuperscript{41}

When the Buddha had finished teaching this discourse, the monks, having heard what the Buddha had said, were delighted and put it into practice.

\textsuperscript{39}甘露門ganlu men = Skt. \textit{amṛta-dvāra}, meaning nirvana.

\textsuperscript{40}彼不憶念如是行.如是形.如是相。然於彼色.受.想.行.識法。作如病.如癰.如刺.如殺.無常.苦.空.非我思惟。於彼法生厭.怖畏.防護。生厭.怖畏.防護已。以甘露門而自饒益。如是寂靜。如是勝妙。所謂捨離。餘愛盡.無欲.滅盡.涅槃。

\textsuperscript{41}爾時。世尊告諸比丘。如上說。差別者。如是知.如是見已。欲有漏心解脫.有有漏心解脫.無明漏心解脫。解脫知見。我生已盡。梵行已立。所作已作。自知不受後有。
SA 866 (T2, 219c; CSA iii 533; FSA 2, 950-951):

Thus have I heard.

Once the Buddha was staying in Jetavana, Anāthapindika’s park at Śrāvastī.

At that time the World-Honoured One taught the monks the above teaching, but with the following difference: If [the practitioner] is unable to attain liberation, it may be that, because of longing for the Dharma, recollecting the Dharma, and appreciating the Dharma, he attains the state of the antarāparinibbāyī. If he does not attain that, then he may attain the state of the upabaccaparinibbāyī. If he does not attain that, then he may attain the state of the sasaṅkhāraparinibbāyī. If he does not attain that, then he may attain the state of the asaṅkhāraparinibbāyī. If he does not attain that, then he may attain the state of the uddhamsota. If he does not attain that, then because of his merit in longing for the Dharma, recollecting the Dharma, and appreciating the Dharma, he may be reborn as a Mahābrahmā-deva or as a Brahmapurohita-deva, or as a Brahmakāyika-deva.

When the Buddha had taught this discourse, the monks, having heard what the Buddha had said, were delighted and put it into practice.

42 中般涅槃.
43 生般涅槃.
44 有行般涅槃.
45 無行般涅槃.
46 上流般涅槃.
47 大梵天.
48 梵輔天.
49 梵身天.
50 阿時。世尊告諸比丘。如上說。差別者。若不得解脫。以欲法.念法.樂法故。取中般涅槃。若不如是。或生般涅槃。若不如是。或有行般涅槃。若不如是。或無行般涅槃。若不如是。或上流般涅槃。若不如是。或復即以此欲法.念法.樂法功德生大梵天中。或生梵輔天中。或生梵身天中。
Thus have I heard.

Once the Buddha was staying in Jetavana, Anāthapiṇḍika’s park at Śrāvastī.

At that time the World-Honoured One said to the monks: “If a monk, as regards such actions, such appearances, and such signs, calms thought and investigation, then he abides having attained the second dhyāna. In this there is inner tranquility and one-pointedness of mind, which is without thought and without investigation, and with concentration-born joy and pleasure.51

“If, as regards such actions, such appearances, and such signs, he is not recollected and mindful, he may still see the phenomena of material form, feeling, perception, activities, and consciousness as a sickness, as an abscess, as a dart, as pain; [as] impermanence, [as] suffering, [as] emptiness, [as] not-self. Regarding those phenomena he experiences disgust, fear, and defensiveness. Having experienced disgust, fear, and defensiveness, he practises for the realm of ambrosia and gains the benefits of it for himself. Such calm, such excellent subtlety, is what is called total renunciation without remainder, the fading away of desire, cessation, nirvana.”52

When the Buddha had finished teaching this discourse, the monks, having heard what the Buddha had said, were delighted and put it into practice.
A COMPARISON OF THE PĀLĪ AND CHINESE VERSIONS

SA 868 (T2, 220a; CSA iii 533-534; FSA 2, 952-953):

Thus have I heard.

Once the Buddha was staying in Jetavana, Anāthapiṇḍika’s park at Śrāvasti.

At that time the World-Honoured One taught the monks the above teaching, but with this difference: If a monk, as regards such actions, such appearances, such signs, has come to know thus, to see thus, then his mind is liberated from the influx of sense-desire, is liberated from the influx of becoming, [and] iberated from the influx of ignorance. In liberation there arises the knowledge [that his mind is liberated]. He truly knows: birth is ended, noble conduct is established, done is what was to be done, there is no more of further becoming.53

If he is unable to attain liberation, then through longing for the Dharma, recollecting the Dharma, appreciating the Dharma, he will attain antarāparinibbāyī. If he does not attain that, then he will attain upabaccaparinibbāyī. If he does not attain that, then he will attain sasaṅkhāraparinibbāyī. If he does not attain that, then he will attain asaṅkhāraparinibbāyī. If he does not attain that, then he will attain uddhamśota. If he does not attain that, then, through longing for the Dharma, remembering the Dharma, appreciating the Dharma, he will be reborn in Ābhassara;54 if he does not attain that, then he will be reborn in Appamāṇābha;55 if he does not attain that, then he will be reborn in Parittābhā.56,57

When the Buddha had finished teaching this discourse, the monks, having heard what the Buddha had said, were delighted and put it into practice.

53爾時。世尊告諸比丘。如上說。差別者。彼如是知。如是見。欲有漏心解脫。有有漏心解脫。無明漏心解脫。解脫知識。我生已盡。梵行已立。所作已作。自知不受後有。
54自性光音天。
55無量光天。
56少光天。
57若不解脫。而以彼法。欲法。念法。樂法取中般涅槃。若不爾者。取生般涅槃。若不爾者。取有行般涅槃。若不爾者。取無行般涅槃。若不爾者。取上流般涅槃。若不爾者。彼以欲法。念法。樂法生自性光音天。若不爾者。生無量光天。若不爾者。生少光天。
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SA 869 (T2, 220a; CSA iii 534; FSA 2, 953-954):

Thus have I heard.

Once the Buddha was staying in Jetavana, Anāthapiṇḍika’s park at Śrāvasti.

At that time, the World-Honoured One said to the monks: “If a monk, as regards such actions, such appearances, such signs, by the fading away of joy, abides disinterested, mindful and aware, and experiences with the body the pleasure of which the Noble Ones are able to say “equanimous, mindful, abiding in pleasure”, then he abides having attained the third dhyāna.58

“If he does not attain that as regards such actions, appearances, and signs, but sees the phenomena of material form, feeling, perception, activities, [and] consciousness as a sickness, as an abscess, as a dart, as pain; … and so on up to uddhamsota.59

“If he does not attain that, [then] by longing for the Dharma, recollecting the Dharma, appreciating the Dharma, he will be reborn in Subhakiṇṇā;60 if he does not attain that, then he will be reborn in Appamāṇasubha;61 if he does not attain that, then he will be reborn in Parittasubha62.”63

When the Buddha had finished teaching this discourse, the monks, having heard what the Buddha had said, were delighted and put it into practice.

58爾時。世尊告諸比丘。若比丘如是行。如是形。如是相。離貪喜捨住。正念正智。覺身樂。聖人能說能捨念樂住。第三禪具足住。

59若不爾者。以如是行。如是形。如是相。於受。想。行。識法思惟如病。如癰。如刺。如殺。乃至上流[般涅槃]。

60遍淨天。

61無量淨天。

62少淨天。

63若不爾者。以彼法。欲法。念法。樂生遍淨天。若不爾者。生無量淨天。若不爾者。生少淨天。
Thus have I heard.

Once the Buddha was staying in Jetavana, Anāthapiṇḍika’s park at Śrāvastī.

At that time, the World-Honoured One said to the monks: “As regards such actions, such appearances, such signs, through the giving up of pleasure and pain, through the ceasing of previous happiness and sorrow, a monk attains and abides in the fourth dhyāna which is without pain and pleasure, and with disinterestedness, mindfulness-and-purity.⁶⁴

“If he does not attain that, then through being recollected-and-mindful, he sees the phenomena of material form, feeling, perception, activities, and consciousness as a sickness, as an abscess, as a dart, as pain; … and so on up to uddhamsota.⁶⁵

“If he does not attain that, then he will be reborn in Vehapphalā;⁶⁶ if he does not attain that, then he will be reborn in Puṇyaprasavā;⁶⁷ if he does not attain that, then he will be reborn in Anabhrakā.⁶⁸⁶⁹

When the Buddha had finished teaching this discourse, the monks, having heard what the Buddha had said, were delighted and put it into practice.

As with the four dhyānas, the four non-material concentration-realms (āyatana) are then similarly taught in this way.⁷⁰

---

⁶⁴爾時。世尊告諸比丘。若比丘如是行。如是形。如是相。離苦息樂。前憂喜已滅。不苦不樂捨。淨念一心。第四禪具足住。

⁶⁵若不如是憶念。而於色。受。想。行。識思惟如病。如癰。如刺。如殺。乃至上流般涅槃。


⁶⁷福生天 (No Pāli term for Skt. Puṇyaprasavā).

⁶⁸少福天 (No Pāli term for Skt. Anabhrakā).

⁶⁹若不爾者。或生因性果實天。若不爾者。生福生天。若不爾者。生少福天。

⁷⁰如四禪。如是四無色定亦如是說。
Thus, the above seven discourses (SA 864-870) link the four dvīnas with liberation, nirvāṇa, and various specific heavenly realms (天 tian). The following names of heavens (here given in Pali or Sanskrit) are mentioned: Mahābrahma, Brahmaṇḍuḥita, Brahmāyike; Ābhassara, Appamāṇābha, Parittābha; Subhakīṇṇa, Appamāṇasubha, Parittasubha; Vehapphāla, Puṇyaprāsava, and Anabhīraka. Also mentioned are the following supramundane stages on the way to the final attainment of nirvāṇa: antarāparinibbāyī, upabaccaparinibbāyī, sasaṅkhāraparinibbāyī, asaṅkhāraparinibbāyī.

(3) SA 872 (T2, 220b-c; CSA iii 535-536; FSA 2, 956)

Thus have I heard.

Once the Buddha was staying in Jetavana, Anāthapiṇḍika’s park at Śrāvastī.

At that time, the World-Honoured One was [going out] on a dark night. [As] the heavens were occasionally sending down light rain and flashes of lightning, the Buddha said to Ānanda: “You may go and get an umbrella and a light.” Then the venerable Ānanda, following this instruction, took an umbrella and a light, and walked behind the Buddha. On arriving at a certain place, the Buddha smiled. The venerable [Ānanda] asked the Buddha: “The World-Honoured One does not smile without a reason, but I do not know the reason why the World-Honoured One has smiled today.”

The Buddha said to Ānanda: “It is so, it is so. The Tathāgata does not smile without a reason. You are now holding an umbrella and a light while walking behind me. I, [however], also see the god Brahmā, similarly holding an umbrella and a light, walking behind the monk Ājñāta-kaundinya; [I also see] Śakra, the leader of the devas, similarly holding an umbrella and a light, walking behind Mahākāśyapa; also the heavenly king, Dhṛtarāṣṭra, holding an umbrella and a light, walking behind Śāriputra; also the heavenly king, Virūḍhaka, holding an umbrella and a light, walking behind Mahāmaudgalyāyana; also the heavenly king, Virūpākṣa, holding

---

\[71\]爾時。世尊於夜闇中。天時小雨。電光焰照。佛告阿難。汝可以傘蓋覆燈持出。尊者阿難即受教。以傘蓋覆燈。隨佛後行。至一處。世尊微笑。尊者阿難白佛言。世尊不以無因緣而笑。不審世尊今日何因何緣而發微笑。
an umbrella and a light, walking behind Mahākauṣṭhila; also the heavenly king Vaiśravaṇa similarly holding an umbrella and a light, while walking behind Mahākalpina.”

When the Buddha had finished teaching this discourse, the venerable Ānanda, having heard what the Buddha had said, was delighted and put it into practice.

This Chinese discourse shows how various devas follow, respect and seemingly protect the Buddha’s great monk-disciples by holding an umbrella and a light while walking behind them. The Buddha smiled after seeing such behaviour by the devas. The actions were also similar to those performed by Ānanda after he was told to do them by the Buddha himself, during their rainy night walk.

To sum up, the three groups of Chinese discourses reviewed above convey the following messages: (1) Inhabitants of the heavens have a far longer life-span than humans, and one should avoid being reborn, at the end of life, in any of the three evil destinies (hell, the realm of animals, and the realm of hungry ghosts). (2) Various stages of heavenly existence are associated with the four dhyānas, with liberation, or with nirvana. (3) Various devas safeguard and respect the Buddha’s great monk-disciples by holding an umbrella and a light while walking behind them, just as Ānanda was told to do by the Buddha himself, during their rainy night-walk, thus causing the Buddha to smile.

Accordingly, regarding both content and style in the Chinese and Pāli groups presented here (SA 861-870, 872 and SN 32.2-57), each of the component discourses is totally lacking a parallel in the otherwise corresponding collection (SA and SN).

72佛告阿難。如是。如是。如來不以無因緣而笑。汝今持傘蓋覆燈。隨我而行。我見梵天亦復如是持傘蓋覆燈。隨拘隣比丘後行。釋提桓因亦復持傘蓋覆燈。隨摩訶迦葉後行。袟栗帝羅色吒羅天王亦持傘蓋覆燈。隨舍利弗後行。毘樓勒迦天王亦持傘蓋覆燈。隨大目揵連後行。毘沙門天王亦持傘蓋覆燈。隨大目揵連後行。毘樓匐叉天王亦持傘蓋覆燈。隨摩訶拘絺羅後行。毘沙門天王亦持傘蓋覆燈。隨摩訶劫賓那後行。
Conclusion

This study has presented a comparison of the Pāli SN 29.1 in Nāga Samyutta, SN 30.1-2 in Supānṇa Samyutta and their Chinese counterpart EA 27.8 in Ekottarikāgama; SN 32.1 (including SN 32.53) in Valāhaka Samyutta and its Chinese counterpart SA 871 in Tian Xiangying; and on other doctrinal matters found only in the Chinese version of Tian Xiangying or in the Pāli version of Valāhaka Samyutta.

Regarding the textual collections, SA 871 (the Chinese counterpart of SN 32.1) is one of the twelve discourses (based on the Taishō edition) that make up Tian Xiangying (Connected with devas). The eleven adjacent discourses, SA 861-870, and 872 in Tian Xiangying, lack counterparts in SN 32 (Valāhaka Samyutta). The extant Chinese SA version also completely lacks counterparts for SN 29 (Nāga Samyutta), SN 30 (Supānṇa Samyutta), and SN 31 (Gandhabba Samyutta). Such lack of corresponding SA discourses is perhaps a result of loss of the SA fascicle now numbered 23, from the original SA translation, as proposed by Yinshun. That is, the SA counterparts (in Tian Xiangying) for SN suttas 32.2-57 (in Valāhaka Samyutta) may have become lost through being in the missing collection as well.

Also, structurally, no clear evidence is found in the texts that might constitute a reason why the SN version (SN 29-32 samyuttas) is edited into SN section (3) Khandha Vagga, whereas the SA version (Tian Xiangying) is located in section (3) Zayin song.

It could be that both the Pāli and the Chinese collections are artificial and/or late compilations. It is possible that the discourses were at first attached to, or subordinated to, the relevant sections (vaggas/songs), and that the gathering of them into samyuttas/samyuktas grouped in a single section was a later development. The observed structural divergences would then simply reflect differences in how the two schools (Vibhajyavāda/Vibhajjavāda and Sarvāstivāda/Sabbatthivāda) developed after their separation from their common ancestor (i.e. the Sthavira tradition).

As for the contents, this comparison has revealed the following main points:

1. Both the Chinese version (EA 27.8) and the Pāli versions (SN 29.1, SN 30.1-2) mention the same four types of nāga and garuda (supānṇa in the Pāli).
A COMPARISON OF THE PĀLI AND CHINESE VERSIONS

Only the Chinese version mentions that the iron-fork tree is employed by garuḍas in catching nāgas for food. No equivalent Indian term or story regarding the iron-fork tree is found. It is a question whether this kind of tree originated in China.

Also, only the Chinese version says that if the nāga king serves the Buddha, then garuḍas are unable to eat nāgas. The reason for this is that the Tathāgata constantly practises the “four kinds of mind” (i.e. loving-kindness, compassion, empathic joy, and equanimity). These four kinds of mind give great strength to those who practise them. Thus, the teaching of the four types of nāga and garuḍa in the Chinese discourse is intended to inspire people to train in the four kinds of mind.

By contrast, the Pāli versions mention only the same four types of nāga and of garuḍa. The reason why the four legendary types of nāga and garuḍa are edited into the Pāli collections (SN 29, SN 30) within the Buddhist context is not clear.

However, both the Chinese and the Pāli texts (EA 27.8 = SN 29.1 and SN 30.1-2) are likely to be artificial and/or late collections and arrangements. They both adopt the Indic mythology of the two animals: snake-like beings and birds. Nevertheless, the Chinese version seems to provide a viable rationale for the inclusion of these two mythical animals within the Buddhist background: doing so highlights the significance of practising the “four kinds of mind”.

2. Regarding the cloud devas, the Chinese version (SA 871) does not have the collective term “devas of cloud-classes” for the five cloud devas shown in the Pāli version (SN 32.1), whereas the Pāli version lacks both the lightning-bolt devas and the sunshine devas indicated in the Chinese version.

Also, the power of the cloud devas explained in the two versions (SN 32.53, SA 871) is said to be able to alter the weather conditions. However, it is unclear why these climate-related mythical devas are edited into the Buddhist texts.
3. The style of the Chinese *Tian Samyukta* and the Pāli *Valāhaka Samyutta* is not found in the corresponding collection of the other version. The content of the two versions is likely to be an artificial creation.

Overall, this study has revealed some disagreements in both structure and content between the Pāli and Chinese versions. It has gone some way toward accounting for those differences. The observed structural discrepancies simply reflect transformations in how the Buddhist traditions developed after the separation from their common origin.

**Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td><em>Aṅguttara-nikāya</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td><em>Ekottarikāgama</em> 增一阿含経 (T2, no. 125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td><em>Madhyamāgama</em> (T1, no. 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS</td>
<td>Pali Text Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td><em>Samyuktāgama</em> 雑阿含経 (T2, no. 99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN</td>
<td><em>Samyutta-nikāya</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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AN, SN references are to the PTS editions.
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